

Comment for reviewers

Here are our comments on the revised version of the paper, we hope they will make it easier for you to see how your suggestions have been implemented.

Suggestions / unresolves issues

1. The initial idea was that in all of the cases -š modifies events, which can differ in various ways (e. g. only in subjects). However, both your and our ideas need further investigation (which we hope to carry out in the future) to be confirmed. We elaborated our idea at the end of 2.4 and mentioned the alternative analysis in the footnote 5.
2. We gave such examples in the footnote 1.
3. We added mentions of dynamicity at the beginning and at the end of **3**. Dynamic verbs = non-stative verbs in our terminology, so any claim on stative verbs automatically says something about dynamic verbs as well. As far as different types of dynamic verbs are concerned, there are some claims about agentive verbs, a subtype of dynamic verbs.

Clarifications

1. The clarification on numerosity of readings is now given after (1). We also gave an explanation for the reading (2b.2) in the footnote 3.
2. There is now a brief explanation of the reciprocal valency-changing operation after (2).
3. Ibid.
4. After (4), the text is corrected so that it does not lead to thinking that base verbs are considered scalar. The explanation of intermittent scalar properties is also given there.

Thank you very much for your valuable feedback. We are sorry if we did not understand some of the comments correctly.